

**PERMISSION TO DISTRIBUTE TRANSCRIPT DATA  
THROUGH TALKBANK  
Carnegie Mellon University**


Carnegie Mellon University is participating in a data-sharing program known as TalkBank that was established through federal and foundation grants to Brian MacWhinney at Carnegie Mellon. For the program to function properly, contributors will be asked to and will give permission for their work to be made available to other researchers. With a full understanding of the aforementioned, I hereby give permission to TalkBank to make and circulate electronic copies of the language transcripts and media that I describe below. These copies may be distributed to scholars and other responsible parties. I warrant that this use of the data is in accord with Human Subjects review procedures at my institution and that participants have given informed consent to have their data available to researchers. I also warrant that there is no copyright restriction over the transcripts and media being circulated. Any further restrictions that I wish to place on the use of these data are listed under (2) below. I do not hold Brian MacWhinney or Carnegie Mellon responsible for the enforcement of these further restrictions and indemnify and render harmless both Brian MacWhinney and Carnegie Mellon University against any actions at law or in equity or in similar courts of any jurisdictions arising from violations of these restrictions.

1. General description of the data set, and IRB Approval # (if available): University of Maryland, IRB #424570-9

The children in this project participated in a number of tasks over two testing sessions. Most sessions were conducted in homes, although a few took place in a clinical treatment room.

- For the task labeled PNT (picture naming task), participants were asked to name approximately 125 pictures in response to color drawings on a laptop. If a participant was unable to name the picture spontaneously, the experimenter used delayed imitation to elicit the target (i.e., This is a zebra. What is it?). There were two orders.
- For the task labeled NWR (non-word repetition), participants immediately imitated a non-word target. The task was based on Shriberg et al.'s (2009) Syllable Repetition Task, but with two conditions that were pseudo-randomized across task items: stressed and equally-stressed stimuli. There were two orders.
- The final task was a 10-minute play session with the experimenter in order to collect spontaneous speech and language samples. Most sessions involved play-doh.

2. Restrictions to be placed on the use of the data: None

Signed:  \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 10/30/2020

Printed Name: Catherine Torrington Eaton

Institution: Research conducted at University of Maryland at College Park. Current position is at University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio

Please send a scanned signed copy to [macw@cmu.edu](mailto:macw@cmu.edu) or mail to: Brian MacWhinney, CMU-Psychology, 5000 Forbes Ave. Pittsburgh, PA, 15213, USA